## Psalm 66- Logotechnical Analysis

## Guidelines

- Please read the General Introduction as well as the Introduction to Book I and Book II.
- For common features found in the numerical analysis charts, see the "Key to the charts".


## Specific features of Psalm 66

- This is the first of three 'nameless' psalms (66, 67 and 71 ) among the predominant Davidic psalms in Book II. Like Psalm 50 (ascribed to Asaph) and Psalm 72 (ascribed to Solomon) its heading is integrated into the initial verse, in contrast to the other psalms, of which the headings constitute separate verses. See Table VIII.
- From a numerical perspective, the structure of the poem is clearly defined by the numbers 28 and 56 , multiples of 7 , the number of fullness, in conjunction with the four crucial imperatives in the initial lines of vs. $1 \mathrm{~b}, 5,8$, and 16 , and the three selahs which have a demarcating function.


## Strophic structure - Canto/Stanza boundary: || Canticle boundary: |

- Van der Lugt: 1b-4, 5-7, 8-9 || 10-12 || 13-15, 16-18, 19-20 (3 cantos with 7 strophes, 19 verselines and 45 cola).
- Fokkelman: $1 \mathrm{~b}-4,5-7$ || 8-9, 10-12 || 13-15, 16-18, 19-20 (3 cantos with 7 strophes, 19 verselines and 45 cola). Fokkelman counts 147 words, because he regards לָמוֹ in v. 7c as 'redundant'. This deletion disrupts the numerical structure.
- Labuschagne: $1 \mathrm{~b}-4$ || 5-7 || 8-9, 10-12 | 13-15 || 16-19, 20 (4 cantos, 7 strophes, 19 verselines and 46 cola, taking v. 4 as a tricolon).


## Logotechnical analysis

- Columns $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ show the number of words before and after the atnach.
- Column c: words addressed to God by the speaker; d: words spoken about God.
- The numbering of the verselines is shown in brown.

|  |  |  | tal | a | b | c d |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1a | לַמִנְצֵּחַ שִׁיר Heading |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| 1b |  | 1 | 4 | 4 |  | 4 |
| 2 |  |  | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |
|  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |
|  | Total, v. 1b-2 |  | 10 | 7 | + 3 | + 10 |
| 3 |  | 2 | 5 | 5 |  | 5 |
|  |  |  | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |
|  | Total, v. 3 |  | 10 | 5 | + 5 | + 10 |
|  | Total, v. 1b-3 |  | 20 |  | + 8 | + 20 |
| 4 |  | 3 | 4 | 4 |  | 4 |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |
|  | Total, v. 4 |  | 8 | 6 | + 2 | + 8 |
|  | Total, v. 3-4 |  | 18 | 11 | + 7 | + 2 |
|  | to I Strophe 1 Total, v. 1b-4 |  | 28 | 18 | + 10 | + 28 |



א אבוֹא בֵיתָּ בְעוֹלוֹת^ 12 3 אֲשַׁלֵּם לְךָ נְרָרָי:

Total, v. 13

13

Total, v. 14

بִם־קְטּרֶת אֵּלִים ^
ם סֶלָה:


Total, v. 15 Total, v. 10-12
Canticl III. 2 Strophe 5 Total, v. 13-15 Numerical chiasmus, v. 10-15

Canto III Total, v. 8-15
Total, v. 3-15
Total, v. 1b-15
 כָּלֹיִּרְאֵי אֶלֹדִיםּ
אֲשֶׁר עָשָׁה לְנִבְשִׁי :
Total, v. 16

וְרוֹמַם תַּחַת לְשׁוֹנִי:
Total, v. 17
Total, v. 16-17
אָוֶן אִם־רָאִיתִּי בְלִבִּי^
לֹא יִשְׁמַע אֲרֹנָי:
Total, v. 18
Total, v. 16-18



Total, v. 19
Total, v. 18-19
Canto IV Strophe 6 Total, v. 16-19
Total, v. 8-19
Total, v. 1b-19



Coda Strophe 7
Total, v. 20
Total, v. 13-20
Total, v. 1b-20
With the heading and סֶלָה (3x), v. 1a-20
3
3

1533
3
3 3
3
$3 \quad 3 \quad 3$
$9=6+3=0+9$
$\begin{array}{lll}16 & 3 & 3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}3 & 3\end{array}$
$6=3+3=0+6$
$15=9+6=0+15$
17

| 4 | 4 | 4 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 |  | 3 | 3 |

$7=4+3=0+7$
$22=13+9=0+22$
18
$3 \begin{array}{ll}3 & 3\end{array}$
$6=3+3=0+6$
$13=7+6=0+13$
$\underline{28}=16+12=0+28$
$84=46+38=43+41$
$140=80+60=43+97$
1922

| 4 | 4 | 4 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 2 | 2 |
| $8=2+6=0+8$ |  |  |
| $60=31+29=24+36$ |  |  |
| $148=82+66$ | $=43+105$ |  |

## Observations

1. In terms of its 148 words, the arithmetic centre of the poem is constituted by the two
 God's 'testing' or 'refining' of the people of Israel in the desert, has obviously been intended as the meaningful centre on word level.
On verseline and colon level, the middle verseline (and the two middle cola), v. 11 (19 = $9+1+9$ verselines and $46=22+2+22$ cola), situated within the middle strophe, vs. 10-12, may be considered the larger meaningful centre:


You have brought us into the net // you have bound our bodies fast.
2. If we include the heading and the selahs in the word-count, the 6 words of $v .10$ now constitute the arithmetic centre of the entire text ( $154=74+6+74$ ), which is a particularly meaningful centre on word level:

## 

For you tested us, God // you refined us as silver is refined.
In my judgement, when the psalm was supplied with a heading, a 3 -word heading and 3 selahs were deliberately chosen to improve the meaningful centre of the poem on word level. Compare Observations 5 and 6 in my Analysis of Psalm 67.
3. There is no concensus among scholars about the precise structure of the poem, but the logotechnical evidence strongly suggests a canto structure based primarily on the structuring function of the numbers 28 and $\mathbf{5 6}(2 \times 28)$ in the pattern $28+28+56+$ $28+8$. This division is supported by two striking factors: first, the imperatives in the initial verselines of vs. 1 b, 5,8 , and 16 , by which the speaker addresses specific groups, and second, the evident demarcating function of the three selahs. On the basis of these considerations in conjunction, I propose to divide the text into 4 cantos and a coda:

| Canto I | vs. 1b-4 | Acclaim God, all the earth! | 28 words + selah |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Canto II | vs. 5-7 | Come, nations, see God's deeds! | 28 words + selah |
| Canto III | vs. 8-15 | Bless God, all peoples! | 56 words + selah |
| Canto IV | vs. 16-19 | Come, hear, all you devout! | $\mathbf{2 8}$ words |
| Canto I-IV | vs. $1 \mathrm{~b}-19$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ (5 x 28) words |  |
| Coda | vs. 20 | Individual concluding praise | 8 words. |

Note the striking compositional formulae of both Cantos I-II and III, 56 = 34+22 and $\mathbf{5 6}=30+\mathbf{2 6}$ respectively. It is difficult to give a specific reason for the use of the numbers $\mathbf{2 8}$ and 56, apart from the fact that they are both multiples of $\mathbf{7}$, the number of fullness symbolizing the fullness of the praises due to God. Compare the prominent role played by the number 7 in Psalm 65!
Logotechnically, the coda stands outside the concluding canto. For the coda, see the General Introduction, "The use of a coda as a device for conclusion".
4. The unity of vs. $10-15$, the $2^{\text {nd }}$ person passage in Canto III, in which the poet addresses God directly ( 43 words in Column c), is underscored by the numerical chiasmus formed by vs. 10-12 and 13-15. At the same time the chiasmus underlines the unity of Canto III (vs. 8-15).

Note that the 16 words in vs. 3-4 are not addressed to God by the speaker, but are supposed to be spoken by all the earth. This means that there are $105(15 \times 7)$ words spoken about God.
5. Despite the predominant use of the number of fullness, there are still a considerable number of occurrences of the divine name numbers:
vs. 1b-7
$34(2 \times 17)$ words before atnach
vs. 3-9
26 words after atnach
vs. 8-12 17 words before atnach
vs. 3-12 $\quad 78(3 \times 26)$ words in total, with $34(2 \times 17)$ after atnach
vs. $1 \mathrm{~b}-12 \quad 51(3 \times 17)$ words before atnach
vs. 8-15 $\quad 26$ words after atnach
vs. 3-15 $\quad 102(6 \times 17)$ words in total
vs. $1 \mathrm{~b}-15 \quad 85(5 \times 17)$ words before atnach.
6. As in Psalms 65 and 67, the name YHWH is absent; אֵלהּים, 'God', appears no less than 8x and אֲרַנִ, 'my Lord', 1x (v. 18b).
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