## Psalm 62- Logotechnical Analysis <br> Guidelines

- Please read the General Introduction as well as the Introduction to Book I and Book II.
- For common features found in the numerical analysis charts, see the "Key to the charts".


## Specific features of Psalm 62

- Whereas its predecessor is a prayer, Psalm 62 is not directly addressed to God, except for the coda in v. 13, which is very reminiscent of the codas in Psalms 54, 56, 59 and 60.
- The most significant feature of the poem is the strong numerical structure, which overlies the even stronger bipartite structure proposed by Pieter van der Lugt.
- A feature this psalm shares with Psalms 39,53,54,57-59, 61, and many others, is the structural use of the numerical value of a key-word: in this case to define the number of words after atnach: 37, the numerical value of

Strophic structure - Canto/Stanza boundary: || Canticle boundary: |

- Van der Lugt and Labuschagne: 2-3, 4, 5, 6-7 || 8-9, $10 \mid 11,12-13$ (2 cantos with 8 strophes, 16 verselines and 35 cola).
- Fokkelman: 2-3, 4, 5 || 6-7, 8-9 || 10, 11, 12-13 (3 stanzas, otherwise similarly, except that he finds 34 cola, taking v. 13 as a bicolon).


## Logotechnical analysis

- Columns $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ show the number of words before and after the atnach.
- Column c: words spoken about God; d: words addressed to God (v. 13).
- The numbering of the verselines is shown in brown.


|  |  | 7 | 4 | 4 |  | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  |
|  | Total, v. 5-6 |  | 17 | $=12$ | + 5 | $=17$ | $+0$ |
| שוּעָתִּ |  | 8 | 4 | 4 |  | 4 |  |
|  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  |
| Strophe 4 | Total, v. 6-7 |  | 14 | $=8$ | + 6 | $=14$ | + 0 |
| Canto I | Total, v. 2-7 |  | 50 | $=34$ | + 16 | $=50$ | $+0$ |


Strophe 5 Total, v. 8-9
Total, v. 7-9
Total, v. 2-9

$$
10 \begin{array}{r}
\text { 7규 ? } \\
\\
(5+2+30)
\end{array}
$$

Middle words of vs. 6-13



Strophe 6 Total, v. 10
Canticle II. 1 Total, v. 8-10





Total, v. 8-12 Total, v. 2-12

Strophe 8 Total, v. 12-13
Canticle II. 2 Total, v. 11-13
Canto II Total, v. 8-13 Total, v. 6-13
Total, v. 2-9 + 12-13 Total, v. 2-13
With the heading, v. 1-13 With סֶלָה (2x), v. 1-13
$\begin{array}{llll}9 & 4 & 4\end{array}$

10

| 4 |
| ---: |
| $8=4+4=8+0$ |

$5 \quad 5 \quad 5$
$3 \quad 3 \quad 3$
3
3
11
$19=12+7=19+0$
$\mathbf{2 6}=16+10=26+0$
$69=46+23=69+0$
$\begin{array}{llll}11 & 4 & 4 & 4\end{array}$
33
$\begin{array}{llll}12 & 2 & 2\end{array}$
3
$12=9+3=12+0$
$31=21+10=31+0$
$\begin{array}{llll}13 & 3 & 3 & 3\end{array}$ 33 $\begin{array}{llll}14 & 3 & 3 & 3\end{array}$

| 3 |
| ---: |
| $3-9+3=12+0$ |
| $24=18+6=24+0$ |

$\begin{array}{llll}15 & 3 & 3 & 3\end{array}$
33

| 3 | 3 | 3 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{5 2}=36+16=52+0$ |  |  |
| 102 | $=70+32=102+0$ |  |
| 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 |


| 2 |
| ---: |
| 2 <br> 8$=3+5=0+8$ |
| $17=9+8=9+8$ |
| $29=18+11=21+8$ |
| $60=39+21=52+8$ |
| $74=47+27=66+8$ |
| $86=55+31=78+8$ |
| $110=73+37=102+8$ |
| $115=78+37$ |

## Observations

1. In terms of the 110 words of the poem the arithmetic centre is constituted by the two words צוּר־עִֶּׁ , 'my rock of refuge', in v. 8b (110 $=54+2+54$ ). It falls precisely within the middle colon, flanked by 17 cola on either side ( $35=17+1+17$ ), which is obviously the meaningful centre:

My rock of refuge, my shelter is God!
The pivotal position of $v .8 b$ is reinforced by the fact that the middle occurrence of the 7 instances of אֶלדִים is situated exactly within the meaningful centre. See the General Introduction, "Special devices to highlight the meaningful centre".
As observed by Van der Lugt, on word level, the 6 middle words, significantly flanked by 52 ( $2 \times 26$ ) words on either side, are also meaningful ( $110=52+6+52$ ):
יִשְׁשִי וּקְבוֹדִי צוּר־עְֻזִי מַחְחִי בֵּאלֹהִים
my safety and my honour, my rock of refuge, my shelter is in God.
2. In terms of the two divine name numbers, the numerical structure of vs. $2-9+12-13$ is very significant, because of its strong pattern: $\mathbf{2 6 + 1 7 + 2 6 + 1 7 , ~ w h i c h ~ o v e r l i e s ~ t h e ~}$ strophic structure; note also the skilfully contrived division of the divine name number $\mathbf{2 6}$ into its two component parts, $\mathbf{1 5}(\mathrm{YH})$ and $\mathbf{1 1}(\mathrm{WH})$, not only in vs. 2-4, but also in vs. 7-9 (cf. Psalm 63):
vs. 2-4
vs. 5-6
vs. 7-9
vs. 12-13

26 words, with $15(\mathrm{YH})$ in vs. 2-3 and $\mathbf{1 1}(\mathrm{WH})$ in v. 4
17 words
26 words, with 15 (YH) in vs. 7-8 and $\mathbf{1 1}(\mathrm{WH})$ in v. 9
17 words.

Not only formally, but also in terms of content, vs. 2-9 + 12-13 appear to constitute a coherent numerical unit, while the 24 -word vs. 10-11 clearly fall outside this pattern. This seems to suggest that these 24 words were added secondarily into the original numerical composition, most probably by the author himself. The original passage (2-$9+12-13$ ) is a psalm of trust, expressing confidence in God as shelter and refuge. The secondary passage, vs. 10-11, however, deals with the vanity of human beings relying on material riches, and is strongly reminiscent of Psalm 39.
The purpose of the addition is obviously to contrast trust in God with trust in riches. An additional reason for the addition may have been to achieve five alternating sections about God and his reliability, on the one hand, and man and his weakness, on the other:*
vs. 2-3 God and his reliability
vs. 4-5 Man and his weakness
vs. 6-9 God and his reliability
vs. 10-11 Man and his weakness
vs. 12-13 God and his reliability.
This division of the text is solely based on content and not on logotechnical or rhetorical considerations.

[^0]3. The addition was formulated in such a way that it has exactly 24 words, in order to achieve the overall compositional formula of the poem: $110=73 \mathrm{a}+37 \mathrm{~b}$. Therefore, in my view, the 37 words after atnach have been devised deliberately. The number 37 represents the numerical value of הֶֶֶל, 'vanity' (in v. 10a), which is a keyword, as in Psalm 39. See Observation 4 in my Analysis of Psalm 39.
4. As shown by the strong numerical structure of the poem in its original form, there was a caesura between vs. 6 and 7. In the present form of the text, however, there is a caesura between vs. 2-7 (Canto I) and 8-13 (Canto II), as Van der Lugt has convincingly argued, taking vs. 6-7 as a single strophe, which corresponds to vs. 2-3.
5. It is important to note that the poet speaks throughout the psalm about God in $3^{\text {rd }}$ person, but in the last verse (v.13) he suddenly addresses God directly (8 words). From a logotechnical point of view, the abrupt switch was obviously deliberate in order to have exactly $102(6 \times 17)$ spoken about God. From a rhetorical viewpoint, the change in the direction of address signifies a coda, as in Pss. 54, 56, 59 and 60.
6. In addition to the divine name numbers cited above, they feature also in:

| vs. 2-5 | $\mathbf{2 6}$ words before atnach |
| :--- | :--- |
| vs. 2-7 | $34(2 \times 17)$ words before atnach |
| vs. 8-12 | $52(2 \times 26)$ words in total |
| vs. 2-12 | $\mathbf{1 0 2 ( 6 \times 1 7 )}$ words in total |
| vs. 8-13 | $52(2 \times \mathbf{2 6})$ words spoken about God |
| 2-9+12-13 | $\mathbf{7 8 ( 3 \times 2 6 )}$ words spoken about God |
| vs. 2-13 | $\mathbf{1 0 2 ( 6 \times 1 7 )}$ words spoken about God |
| vs. 1-13 | $\mathbf{7 8}(3 \times \mathbf{2 6})$ words before atnach (including the heading). |

7. As in Psalms 61, 63 and 65-67, the name YHWH is absent. The designation אֶלהּים, 'God', occurs exactly 7 times in the psalm (2a, 6a, 8a, 8b, 9c, 12a, 12c), with the middle instance falling precisely within the meaningful centre. In my view, the use of
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[^0]:    * My co-reader, Dr. Jim MacMillan, kindly drew my attention to the alternating passages.

