## Psalm 55- Logotechnical Analysis

## Guidelines

- Please read the General Introduction as well as the Introduction to Book I and Book II.
- For common features found in the numerical analysis charts, see the "Key to the charts".


## Specific features of Psalm 55

- Apart from v. 14, which is the (meaningful) arithmetic centre on word level, the psalm has another one on strophic level, v. 16. Additionally, the 5 middle words of Canto III, in v. 20a-b, may be regarded as the meaningful centre of this section of the text.
- Another significant feature is the use of the double kabod pattern $(55=23+32)$ to give structure to Canto I, vs. 2-9. A numerical chiasmus reinforces the literary unity of Canto I. This is additional support for dividing the text into 4 cantos: 1-9, 10-16, 17-22, and 23-24.


## Strophic structure - Canto/Stanza boundary: ||

- Van der Lugt: 2-4, 5-6, 7-9 || 10-12, 13-15, 16 || 17-18, 19-20, 21-22 || 23, 24 (4 cantos with 11 strophes, 28 verselines and 61 cola, taking vs. 2-4 as three verselines).
- Fokkelman: 2-4b, 4c-6, 7-9, 10-12, 13, 14-16, 17-18b, 18c-19, 20, 21-22, 23, 24 (12 strophes with 30 verselines and 64 cola, taking vs. 10-12 as well as vs. 16 and 23 as tricola, and vs. 17-19 as 4 bicola).
- Labuschagne: as Van der Lugt, but I find 29 verselines, taking vs. 2-4 as four verselines.


## Logotechnical analysis

- Columns $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ show the number of words before and after the atnach.
- Column c: words addressed to God; d: words spoken about God.
- The numbering of the verselines is shown in brown.

|  |  | Total |  | a | b | C | d |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| לַبְנֵֵֵּחַ |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | 1 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |  |
|  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  |
|  | Total, v. 2 |  | 6 | 3 | + 3 | 6 | $+0$ |
| 3 | הַקְשִׁירָה | 2 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |  |
|  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  |
|  | Total, v. 3 |  | 6 | 3 | + 3 | 6 | $+0$ |
| 4 |  | 3 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |  |
|  |  | 4 | 4 |  | 4 | 4 |  |
|  | וּבְאַך |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | Total, v. 4 |  | 11 | 5 | + 6 | 11 | + 0 |
|  | Strophe $1 \quad$ Total, v. 2-4 |  | 23 | 11 | + 12 | 23 | $+0$ |
| 5 |  | 5 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |  |
|  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 | 4 |  |
|  | Total, v. 5 |  | 7 | 3 | $+4$ | 7 | $+0$ |
| 6 |  | 6 | 4 | 4 |  | 4 |  |
|  | ַַַתְכַסִִי פַּלָצוּת: |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  |


$11=5+1+5 \quad$ Strophe 6
Total, v. 16 Total, v. 13-16
Canto II Total, v. 10-16

20 Middle words of Canto III

* See below

21
 ַַיהוָה יוֹשִׁיעֵנִי:

Total, v. 17

## עֶרֶב וָבּקֶר וְצָהְרָּיִּם

אָשִׁיחָה וְאֶהֶמֶהּה
וַיִשְׁמַע קוֹלִים:
Total, v. 18
Strophe 7 Total, v. 17-18

כִּי־בְרַבִּים הָיוּ עִּנְּדִי:
Total, v. 19
 אִּשֶׁר אֵּן חֲלִיפּוֹת לְמוֹת וְלֹא יָרָאוּ אֶלֹהִּם:

Total, v. 20
Strophe 8 Total, v. 19-20 Total, v. 17-20
$10=6+4=10+0$
$34=22+12=34+0$
$58=33+25=58+0$
$\begin{array}{lll}18 & 4 & 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}2 & 2\end{array}$ $6=4+2=0+6$ 19 33 22 $2 \quad 2 \quad 2$ $\begin{aligned} 7 & =5+2=0+7 \\ 13 & =9+4=0+13\end{aligned}$ $5 \quad 5$ 5
4
$9=5+4=0+9$ 2133 22 $\begin{array}{llll}22 & 4 & 4\end{array}$ $3 \quad 3 \quad 3$
$12=9+3=0+12$ $21=14+7=0+21$
$34=23+11=0+34$ שָׁרַח יָדָיו בִּשְׁלֹלָּיו

חִּלֵל בְּרִיתוֹ:
Total, v. 21
 וּקְרָב־ִלּבּוֹ רַכּוּוּ דְבָרָיו מִשֶֶֶּׁן

וְהֵּמֶּה פְתִחוֹת:
Total, v. 22
Strophe 9 Total, v. 21-22
Canto III Total, v. 17-22

33
$\qquad$

$$
5=5+0=0+5
$$

2433 22
$25 \quad 3 \quad 3$ $\begin{array}{ll}2 & 2\end{array}$
$10=10+0=0+10$
$15=15+0=0+15$
$49=38+11=0+49$


* In Codex $L$ the selah in v. 20 is not situated at its normal place at the end of the verse, but right within the body of the text (as in Ps. 57:4). For an explanation of this phenomenon, see Observation 4 below. Whatever the function of the selah may be in this case, the suggestion by the editor in BHS to read kulloh, instead of selah, resulting in an extra word in the psalm, is totally unacceptable on numerical grounds:

1. it would disturb the $34(2 \times 17)$ words in vs. $17-20$,
2. it would disturb the total number of $187(11 \times 17)$ words,
3. it would disrupt the carefully designed numerical structure of the $\mathbf{6 0}$ words phrased in $3^{\text {rd }}$ person (Column d): 34 in vs. 17-20, and 26 in vs. 21-23.

## Observations

1. The word-count is based upon the correction of the text in v. 16, where I follow the

2. In terms of its $187(11 \times 17)$ words the (meaningful) arithmetic centre of the poem is constituted by the 3 words in v. $14(187=92+3+92)$ :

a man of my own sort, my comrade, my familiar friend.
By their central position these words express the reason behind the speaker's prayer for the ruin of his enemies: the hostility of fellow citizens, of which the epitome is the betrayal by a close friend and comrade.
However, in terms of the 11 strophes, v. 16 may be regarded as the consciously designed meaningful centre: the elimination of the speaker's enemies:

## 

May death come upon them, may they go down alive into Sheol!
For utter wickedness resides in their storage room, in their midst.
In Canto II, vs. 10-16, the pivotal strophe is the high point of the supplicant's prayer for the ruin of his enemies.
3. The first part of the poem, vs. 2-9 (Canto I), is an individual lament in which the speaker describes the betrayal by a close friend. This particular passage stands out because it is structured in the double kabod pattern, $\mathbf{5 5}=23+32$. This is significantly done in two ways: first, by the number of words in the two subsections, vs. 2-4 (23 words) and vs. 5-9 ( 32 words), and second, by the division of the words by atnach: 32 before, and 23 after atnach, resulting in a numerical chiasmus:

| vs. $2-4$ | $\mathbf{2 3}=11+12$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| vs. $5-9$ | $\underline{\mathbf{3 2}=21+11}$ |
| vs. $2-9$ | $55=\mathbf{3 2}+23$ |

The double kabod pattern has in part been achieved by the absence of the atnach in v. 7, which explains the lack of an atnach here. There is no explanation, however, for its absence in the three consecutive verses $21-23$, for there is numerically nothing significant here, except that vs. 21-23 are made up of 26 words.
4. In CAS I, p. 561, Van der Lugt has suggested that the selah in v. 20 seems to have the function of directing attention to the 5 preceding words. For a comparable function of selah, see Psalm 67, where a selah is situated at the end of v. 5, the only tricolon verseline, at the centre of the menorah.
The importance of the speaker's trust in God is emphasized by the fact that these words constitute the meaningful centre of Canto III, vs. 17-22 ( $49=22+5+22$ ):
יִשׁׁמַעע אֵל וִיְשְנֵם ְִישׁׁב קֶרֶם

God will hear and humble them, he who is enthroned from of old.
Psalm 55 shares the feature of having a meaningful centre in part of the text with Psalms 56, 57, and 69.
5. The divine name numbers feature in the following instances:

| vs. 10-11 | $\mathbf{1 7}$ words in total |
| :--- | :--- |
| vs. 2-13 | $\mathbf{5 2}(2 \times 26)$ words before atnach |
| vs. 13-16 | $34(2 \times 17)$ words in total |
| vs. 17-20 | $\mathbf{3 4}(2 \times 17)$ words spoken about God |
| vs. 21-23 | $\mathbf{2 6}$ words in total ( 15 in vs. 21-22 + 11 in v. 23) |
| vs. 19-24 | $\mathbf{5 1}(3 \times 17)$ words before atnach |
| vs. 21-24 | $\mathbf{2 6}$ words spoken about God |
| vs. 2-24 | $\mathbf{1 8 7}(11 \times 17)$ words in total. |

6. The concluding canto, vs. 23-24, is very reminiscent of the concluding strophe of Psalm 54 - see Observation 5 in my Analysis of Psalm 54. Contrary to v. 23, which is still phrased as words spoken about God (as in vs. 17-22), v. 24, the last verseline, is suddenly directly addressed to God. Therefore, in terms of form and content, v. 24 clearly stands out and should be regarded as a coda - compare Ps. 54:9.
7. There are altogether 7 references to God: the name YHWH occurs $2 x$ (vs. 17 and 23), אלֹהִים, 'God', 5x (vs. 2a, 15b, 17a, 20d, and 24a), אֲרנָי, 'my Lord', 1x (v. 10a), and אֵל, 'God', 1x (v. 20a).
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