## Psalm 21— Logotechnical Analysis

## Guidelines

- Please read the General Introduction as well as the Introduction to Book I.
- For common features found in the numerical analysis charts, see the "Key to the charts".


## Specific features of Psalm 21

- Psalm 21 is the follow-up to the royal poem, Psalm 20, with which it shares many formal features, despite some differences: Psalm 20 is addressed to the king and Psalm 21 is for the most part a prayer. Both psalms have a bipartite canto structure, but this one is not as stringent as that of Psalm 20 with its two numerically equal halves. A characteristic feature of the second canto is the frequent change in the direction of address.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { vs. 2-7 } & \text { First part of the prayer } & 6 \text { verselines, } 12 \text { cola } & 48=24+24 \text { words } \\
\text { vs. 8-14 } & \text { Continuation with interruptions } & 7 \text { verselines, } 15 \text { cola } & 52(2 \times 26) \text { words. }
\end{array}
$$

- Both poems are concluded by a coda, in which the $1^{\text {st }}$ person plural form is used, showing that it is a device for conclusion.


## Strophic structure - Canto/Stanza boundary: ||

- Van der Lugt: 2-4, 5-7 || 8-10, 11-13 | 14 (2 cantos with 4 strophes and a 'relatively separate one-line strophe': altogether 13 verselines and 27 cola).
- Fokkelman: 2-3, 4-5, 6-7, 8 || 9-10b, 10c-11, 12-13, 14 (2 stanzas with 8 strophes, 14 verselines and 28 cola (taking v. 10a as a bicolon).
- Labuschagne: 2-4, 5-7 || 8, 9-11, 12-13, 14 (2 cantos with 6 strophes, 13 verselines and 27 cola, concurring with Van der Lugt that v . 8 belongs to Canto I, contra Fokkelman).
- For an overview of other divisions of the text, see Van der Lugt, CAS, Psalm 21, § 6.


## The logotechnical analysis

- Columns $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ show the number of words before and after the atnach.
- Column c: words spoken to God about the king; d: spoken to the king about God.
- The numbering of the verselines is shown in brown.


5

6

7

8 Middle words:
$100=48+4+48$ Total, v. 2-8

Middle colon and verseline Strophe 3, v. 8 $27=13+1+13 \quad 13=6+1+6 \quad$ Total, v. 2-8a



|  | 4 | 5 | 5 |  |  | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4 |  | 4 |  | 4 |  |
| Total, v. 5 |  | 9 | $=5$ | $+4$ | $=$ | 9 | $+0$ |
|  | 5 | 3 | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |
|  |  | 4 |  | 4 |  | 4 |  |
| Total, v. 6 |  | 7 | $=3$ | $+4$ | $=$ | 7 | $+0$ |
|  | 6 | 4 | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |
|  |  | 4 |  | 4 |  | 4 |  |
| Total, v. 7 |  | 8 | $=4$ | + 4 | $=$ | 8 | + 0 |
| al Chiasmus Total, v. 2-4 |  | 24 | $=12$ | + 12 | $=2$ | 24 | + 0 |
| e $2 \quad$ Total, v. 5-7 |  | $\underline{24}$ | = 12 | + 12 | = 2 | 24 | + 0 |
| Total, v. 2-7 |  | 48 | $=24$ | + 24 | = 4 | 48 | + 0 |

9
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Strophe 4

Strophe 5
$\begin{array}{llll}7 & 4 & 4 & \text { Statement about }\end{array}$
44 the king
$8=4+4=0+8+0$
$52=28+24=48+4+0$
$56=32+28=48+8+0$

| 4 | 4 |  | 4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| 7 | $=4$ | $+3$ | 7 | $+$ | 0 |
| 5 | 5 |  | 5 |  |  |
| 3 | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |


$10=8+2=5+5$
$17=12+5=12+5$
10

Total, v. 11
Total, v. 9-11

11

Total, v. 12
12

Total, v. 13
Total, v. 12-13
Total, v. 8-13
13

Coda Strophe 6 Total, v. 14 Canto II

Total, v. 8-14
Total, v. 2-14
With the heading and סֶלָה, v. 1-14
333
3
$6=3+3=6+0$
$23=15+8=18+5$


| 3 | 3 |  | 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| 6 | $=3$ | + 3 | $=$ | $6+0$ |
| 52 | $=29$ | + 23 |  | $4+8+20$ |
| 100 | $=53$ | + 47 | $=7$ | $2+8+20$ |
| 104 | $=56$ | $+48$ |  |  |

## Observations

1. The arithmetic centre in terms of the 100 words of the poem is constituted by the four words in v. 8a ( $100=48+4+48$ ):

כִּי־הַשֶּלֶךְ דֹטֵחַח בַּיהוָה $\quad$ For the king trusts in YHWH.
This statement is most appropriate to a royal psalm and has undoubtedly been designed as its meaningful centre. It coincides precisely with the middle colon ( $27=13+1+13$ ) and falls within the middle verseline, v. $8(13=6+1+6)$. The pivotal position of $v .8$ is significantly highlighted by the fact that it is phrased as words spoken about both God and the king. For the striking technique of highlighting the centre of the text by the sudden change in the direction of address, see the General Introduction, "Special devices to highlight the meaningful centre".
From a logotechnical point of view, v. 8a, is, as it were, 'encapsulated' or 'embraced' by
 meaningful centre in still another way.
2. Including the heading and the selah the psalm has $\mathbf{1 0 4}(4 \times 26)$ words, which is, in my opinion, a matter of conscious design on the part of the editor of the psalm - see Observation 2 in my Analysis of Psalm 20.
3. Verse 8 marks the beginning of a new section: Canto II, vs. $8-14$, dealing particularly with the fate of the enemies of the king. In fact it forms a bridge between the two parts of the prayer. Van der Lugt and others regard the entire Canto II as addressed to the king (cf. Van der Lugt, CAS, p. 238), but in my judgement, it is for the most part the continuation of the prayer. It is only in vs. $8,10 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{c}$ and $12-13$ that God is not directly addressed. A striking feature of Canto II is the frequent change in the direction of address, in contrast with Canto I, which is from beginning to end a prayer. This rhetorical technique may be regarded as an attention-drawing device.

| Canto I vs. 2-7 |  | words addressed to God | 48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canto II | v. 8 | words spoken about both God and the king | 8 |
|  | vs. 9-10a | words addressed to God | $12+$ |
|  | vs. 10b-c | words spoken about God | $5=17$ |
|  | v. 11 | words addressed to God | 6 |
|  | vs. 12-13 | words specifically addressed to the king | 15 |
|  | v. 14 | words addressed to God | 6. |

Note the abrupt switch to words addressed to the king in vs. 12-13 and then back again to words addressed to God in v. 14.
The concluding verseline is clearly a coda - compare the coda in Psalm 20. See the General Introduction, "The use of a coda as a device for conclusion."
The $48(4 \times 12)$ words in Canto I may be a matter of deliberate design to symbolize the 12 tribes of Israel. The fact that there are exactly $72(6 \times 12)$ words addressed to God (Column c) may endorse this supposition.
4. The address to God in Canto I (vs. 2-7), with its 6 verselines, 12 cola and 48 words, has a particularly regular structure: it divides into two equal strophes forming on word level a perfect numerical chiasmus in terms of the atnach, which buttresses its unity:

| Strophe 1 (vs. 2-4) | 3 verselines | 6 cola | 24 words $(12 a+12 b)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strophe 2 (vs. $5-7)$ | 3 verselines | 6 cola | 24 words $(12 a+12 b)$. |

Van der Lugt delineates Canto II as comprising vs. 8-13, because he regards v. 14 as a 'relatively separate one-line strophe'. According to him Canto II has a similar poetic structure as Canto I, but it lacks the regularity of Canto I in terms of cola and words:

| Strophe 3 (vs. 8-10) | 3 verselines | 7 cola | 25 words |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strophe 4 (vs. 11-13) | 3 verselines | 6 cola | 21 words. |

In my opinion, v. 14, being a coda, is structurally part of Canto II (vs. 8-14), which means that it consists of 4 strophes with 7 verselines and 15 cola: vs. 8, 9-10, 12-13, and 14. Note the use of the first person plural in v. 14b, as in Psalm 20, where we find a 'we'passages at the end of both Cantos.
5. The divine name numbers are conspicuously absent in Canto I, due to its stringent structure, a feature Canto I shares with Psalm 20. They only appear in Canto II: the $\mathbf{1 7}$ words in vs. 9-10, the $\mathbf{2 6}$ before atnach in 8-13 and the $52(2 \times 26)$ in 8-14.
6. The name ידוה occurs 4 times: vs. 2, 8, 10 and 14; the designation עֶלְיוֹן occurs in v. 8b.

